

	DS OVER 4-YEAR PERIOD

	Absolute Rating	Improvement Rating	Adequate Yearly Progress
2001	Good	Excellent	N/A
2002	Good	Below Average	N/A
2003 2004	Excellent	Excellent	N/A

TENTH GRADE PASSAGE OF ONE OR MORE SUBTESTS OF THE EXIT EXAM							
		Our School			High Schools with Students Like Ours		
Percent	2001	2002	2003	2001	2002	2003	
Passed all 3 subtests	69.8	57.5	74.7	60.9	64.6	64.1	
Passed 2 subtests	16.8	21.9	15.1	19.7	18.4	18.6	
Passed 1 subtest	8.1	12.5	6.2	11.6	10.8	10.3	
Passed no subtests	5.4	8.1	4.1	7.8	6.2	6.4	

Name	PERFORMANCE BY 5	TUDENT	GROUPS				PERFORMANCE BY STUDENT GROUPS							
All Students 133 97.7 134 9.7 145 8 Gender Male 70 98.6 60 13.3 73 7 Female 63 96.8 74 6.8 72 9 Race or Ethnic Group African American 64 98.4 77 0.0 87 7 Hispanic 1 1/S 1 1/S 1 White 68 98.5 56 23.2 57 9 Other N/A N/A 0 N/A 0 Disability Status Non-speech disabilities 4 1/S 5 0.0 14 3 Students without disabilities 129 97.7 129 10.1 131 8 Migrant Status Migrant Status Migrant N/A N/A 0 N/A 0 N/A 0 Non-migrant 1 N/A N/A 0 N/A 0 N/A 0 Non-migrant 4 1/S 134 9.7 5 9 English Proficiency Limited English proficient N/A N/A 0 N/A 0 N/A 0 Non-LEP 133 97.7 134 9.7 145 8 Lunch Status Subsidized meals 53 94.3 66 1.5 70 88				Eligibility Scholar	Eligibility for LIFE Scholarships*		Graduation Rate							
Gender Male 70 98.6 60 13.3 74 6.8 72 73 74 68 8	All Students						% 82.1							
Race or Ethnic Group African American 64 98.4 77 0.0 87 78 Hispanic 1 I/S 1 I/S 1 I/S 1 White 68 98.5 56 23.2 57 98 Other N/A N/A N/A 0 N/A 0 Disability Status Non-speech disabilities 4 I/S 5 0.0 14 3 Students without disabilities 129 97.7 129 10.1 131 8 Migrant Status Migrant N/A N/A N/A 0 N/A 0 Non-migrant 4 I/S 134 9.7 5 9 English Proficiency 2 1			V 7		•	0	02							
Race or Ethnic Group African American 64 98.4 77 0.0 87 77 Hispanic 1 I/S 1 I/S 1 White 1 I/S	Male	70	98.6	60	13.3	73	74.0							
African American 64 98.4 77 0.0 87 7 Hispanic 1 1/S 1 1/S 1 1/S 1 White 68 98.5 56 23.2 57 9 9 10.1 N/A N/A N/A 0 N/A 0 N/A 0 N/A 0 N/A 131 N/A 131 N/A N/A 14 N/A 15 N/A 15 N/A N/A 0 N/A 0 N/A 0 N/A 15 N/A N/A 0 N/A 0 N/A 0 N/A 0 N/A 15 N/A N/A N/A 0 N/A 0 N/A 0 N/A 0 N/A 15 N/A N/A 15 N/	Female	63	96.8	74	6.8	72	90.3							
Hispanic 1 I/S 1 I/S 1 White 68 98.5 56 23.2 57 Other N/A N/A 0 N/A 0 Disability Status Non-speech disabilities 4 I/S 5 0.0 14 3 Students without disabilities 129 97.7 129 10.1 131 8 Migrant Status Migrant N/A N/A 0 N/A 0 Non-migrant 4 I/S 134 9.7 5 9 English Proficiency Limited English proficient N/A N/A 0 N/A 0 Non-LEP 133 97.7 134 9.7 145 8 Lunch Status Subsidized meals 53 94.3 66 1.5 70 8	Race or Ethnic Group													
White 68 98.5 56 23.2 57 9 Other N/A N/A N/A 0 N/A 0 Disability Status Non-speech disabilities 4 I/S 5 0.0 14 3 Students without disabilities 129 97.7 129 10.1 131 8 Migrant Status Migrant N/A N/A 0	African American	64	98.4	77	0.0	87	75.9							
Other N/A N/A 0 N/A 0 Disability Status Non-speech disabilities 4 I/S 5 0.0 14 3 Students without disabilities 129 97.7 129 10.1 131 8 Migrant Status Migrant N/A N/A 0 N/A	•	•		1			I/S							
Disability Status Non-speech disabilities 4 I/S 5 0.0 14 3 Students without disabilities 129 97.7 129 10.1 131 8 Migrant Status Migrant N/A N/A 0	White	68	98.5	56	23.2	57	91.2							
Non-speech disabilities 4 I/S 5 0.0 14 3 Students without disabilities 129 97.7 129 10.1 131 8 Migrant Status Migrant N/A N/A 0 N/A 0 Non-migrant 4 I/S 134 9.7 5 9 English Proficiency Eighish Proficient N/A N/A 0 N/A	Other	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	0	N/A							
Migrant Status N/A N/A N/A 0 N/A 9.7 145 8 Lunch Status Subsidized meals 53 94.3 66 1.5 70 8	Disability Status													
Migrant Status Migrant N/A N/A 0 N/A 0 Non-migrant 4 I/S 134 9.7 5 5 English Proficiency Emplish Proficient N/A N/A 0 0 N/A	Non-speech disabilities	4	I/S	5	0.0	14	35.7							
Migrant N/A N/A 0 N/A 0 Non-migrant 4 I/S 134 9.7 5 6 English Proficiency Limited English proficient N/A N/A 0 N/A 0 Non-LEP 133 97.7 134 9.7 145 8 Lunch Status Subsidized meals 53 94.3 66 1.5 70 8	Students without disabilities	129	97.7	129	10.1	131	87.0							
Non-migrant 4 I/S 134 9.7 5 8 English Proficiency Limited English proficient N/A N/A 0 N/A	Migrant Status													
English Proficiency Limited English proficient N/A N/A 0 N/A 0 Non-LEP 133 97.7 134 9.7 145 8 Lunch Status Subsidized meals 53 94.3 66 1.5 70 8	Migrant	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	0	N/A							
Limited English proficient N/A N/A 0 N/A 0 Non-LEP 133 97.7 134 9.7 145 8 Lunch Status Subsidized meals 53 94.3 66 1.5 70 8	Non-migrant	4	I/S	134	9.7	5	99.0							
Non-LEP 133 97.7 134 9.7 145 8 Lunch Status Subsidized meals 53 94.3 66 1.5 70 8	English Proficiency													
Lunch Status 53 94.3 66 1.5 70 8	Limited English proficient	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	0	N/A							
Subsidized meals 53 94.3 66 1.5 70 8	Non-LEP	133	97.7	134	9.7	145	82.1							
	Lunch Status													
Full neu mania 90 100 0 60 17 6 75	Subsidized meals	53	94.3	66	1.5	70	85.7							
ruii-pay meais 80 100.0 66 17.6 75 7	Full-pay meals	80	100.0	68	17.6	75	78.7							

Percent of	Our School	High Schools with Students Like Ours
Seniors eligible for LIFE Scholarships at four-year institutions*	9.7	9.3
Seniors who met the SAT requirement	11.9	9.5
Seniors who met the grade point average	28.4	44.0

^{*}Using only the SAT and grade point average requirements

Wade Hampton High				2501001
SCHOOL PROFILE				
	OurSchool	Change from Last Year	High Schools with Students Like Ours	Median High School
Students (n= 684)				
Retention rate Attendance rate	8.8% 97.3%	Down from 10.9% Up from 95.6%	9.5% 95.5%	7.3% 95.5%
Eligible for gifted and talented With disabilities other than speech	3.7% 9.1%	Up from 3.0% Down from 10.7%	0.9% 13.0%	5.1% 12.2%
Older than usual for grade Suspended or expelled	6.9% 5.6%	Down from 11.1% Down from 8.3%	12.0% 2.6%	10.1% 2.3%
Enrolled in AP/IB programs Successful on AP/IB exams	9.8% N/A	N/A N/A	N/A N/A	10.2% N/A
Annual dropout rate Career/technology students in co-curricular organizations	4.4% 0.0%	Down from 5.4% No change	3.2% 5.8%	2.7% 3.2%
Enrollment in career/technology center courses	r 511	Up from 509	384	433
Students participating in worked-based experiences	58.3%	Up from 46.6%	28.6%	26.3%
Career/technology students mastering core competencies	74.6%	Down from 76.9%	73.7%	74.9%
Career/technology completers placed	92.7%	Up from 92.2%	99.3%	99.5%
Teachers (n= 49)				
Teachers with advanced degrees Continuing contract teachers	42.9% 87.8%	Down from 44.2% Up from 75.0%	46.4% 82.0%	51.7% 81.8%
Highly qualified teachers Teachers returning from previous year	N/A 83.9%	N/A Up from 82.0%	N/A 84.9%	N/A 85.1%
Teacher attendance rate Average teacher salary	95.3% \$36,817	Up from 93.3% Up 4.3%	95.5% \$39,856	95.8% \$40,303
Prof. development days/teacher	7.2 days	No change	9.4 days	10.3 days
School				
Principal's years at school Student-teacher ratio	6.0 29.0 to 1	Up from 5.0 Up from 24.8 to 1	5.0 24.6 to 1	3.0 26.2 to 1
Prime instructional time Dollars spent per pupil*	91.5% \$5,718	Up from 87.6% Up 4.7%	89.8% \$6,318	90.1% \$6,279
Percent spent on teacher salaries* Opportunities in the arts	53.7% Excellent	Down from 55.6% No change	56.0% Excellent	57.8% Excellent
Parents attending conferences SACS accreditation	99.0% yes	No change N/A	94.8% yes	87.8% yes
* Prior year audited financial data are reported.	,		,	,
Highly qualified teachers in low povert	v schools	Our Dist N/A	rict State N/A	
ringing quantieu teachers in low povert	y actionis	N/A	N/A	
Highly qualified teachers in high pover	ty schools	N/A	N/A	

A bbrowietiene	for Missing Data
Appreviations	ioi wiissiiiu Dala

N/A Not Applicable N/C Not Collected	N/R Not Reported	I/S Insufficient Sample
--------------------------------------	------------------	-------------------------

REPORT OF PRINCIPAL AND SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT COUNCIL

2002-2003 was a good year for Wade Hampton High School. Our students excelled in many areas: academics, athletics, and the arts: (1) Three seniors were named Palmetto Fellows; (2) The Class of 2003 was offered more that \$1.5 in scholarships; (3) The NJROTC Unit received the Distinguished Unit Award; (4) One student was selected to the Governor's School for the Arts; (5) One student was selected to attend the South Carolina Young Writers Conference; (6) Eleven athletic teams advanced to the state playoff; (7) Numerous student athletes received All-Region honors and two students were selected to All-State teams; (8) The Red Guardsman Marching Band received an Excellent rating at the Lower-State Marching Band Championships; (9) The Concert Band received an Excellent rating at the State Concert Band Festival; (10) Two students were selected to the South Carolina All-State Band; (11) The Concert Choir participated in the Carowinds Choral Festival, (12) The Creative Drama students participated in the South Carolina Speech Communications Association Festival; (13) The "Red Hot Ceramic Factory" (a business enterprise operated solely by students) opened to the public for business; (14) Students participated in many community service projects including: Adopt-a-Highway Program, Thanksgiving and Christmas Canned Food Drives, Foster Children's "Angel Tree" Project, Restoration of the Salvation Army Facility by the NJROTC students, Blood Drives (WHHS was recognized by the Southern Region Blood Services division of the American Red Cross with a special award for 115% achievement of our goal for 2002), and Relay for Life; (15) Failure Rates are down; (16) SAT scores are up; (17) Exit Exam scores are up; and (18) The number of students on the honor roll is up.

The WHHS family and the Hampton community have much to celebrate. Our multi-talented, dedicated faculty and our supportive community have enabled us to create an outstanding place to learn and grow. Although we celebrate our successes, we will continue to examine areas where we need to improve. We welcome input from parents and community members.

Dr. Terry O. Pruitt, Principal

EVALUATIONS BY TEACHERS, STUDENTS, AND PARENTS							
	Teachers	Students	Parents				
Number of surveys returned	53	134	18				
Percent satisfied with learning environment	76.5%	50.0%	72.2%				
Percent satisfied with social and physical environment	86.8%	62.2%	61.1%				
Percent satisfied with home-school relations	60.8%	70.5%	61.1%				

DEFINITIONS OF SCHOOL RATING TERMS

- Excellent School performance substantially exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Good School performance exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Average School performance meets the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Below Average School is in jeopardy of not meeting the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Unsatisfactory School performance fails to meet the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal

DEFINITION OF ADEQUATE YEARLY PROGRESS

As required by the United States Department of Education, adequate yearly progress specified that the statewide target is met for all students and for each subgroup of students: racial/ethnic, economic, disability, limited English proficiency and migrant status.